Case 1:08-cv-01880 Document 42 Filed 08/05/2008 Page 1 of 3

(Revised 06/08)

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title:	HERBERT and DORIS STEELE, et al.	Plantiff(s)	
	VS.		
	GE MONEY BANK, et al.		Defendant(s)
Case Number			
I,	Jay N. Fastow	hereb	y apply to the Court
under Local	Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled	action (on behalf of
WI	MC Mortgage, LLC, f/k/a WMC Mortgage Corporation by wh	iom I ha	ave been retained.
I am a memi	ber in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:		
	Title of Court		Date Admitted
See Exhibit	A		
	ntly, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro he following actions:	ac vice	applications to this
Case Nu	mber Case Title	Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*	
	please explain: itional form if		
	ocal Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of the part of this Court having an office within		-

papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes .

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant ever been: censured, suspended, disbarred, or witherwise disciplined by any court?	Yes 🔨	No (•
or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?	Yes (No (
transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of nay court?	Yes (No @
denied admission to the bar of any court?	Yes 🤆	No (•
held in contempt of court?	Yes (No (•

NOTE: If the answer to any of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois, effective November 12, 1991 (Local Rules 83.50 through 83.58), and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, effective December 15, 1992, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Jul 21, 2008 Date		s/ Jay N.	Fastow				
		El	ectronic Signature of	Applicant	-		
Applicant's Name	Last Name Fastow		First Name Jay		Middle Name/Initial N.		
Applicant's Law Firm	Dickstein Shapiro LLP						
Applicant's Address	Street Address 1177 Avenue of the Americas			Room/Suite Number			
	City New York	State NY	ZIP Code 10036	Work Phone N	umber 12-277-6767		

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of the Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$100.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

Exhibit A

Courts of the State of New York	6/28/78
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York	4/22/80
United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York	11/5/80
United States District Court for the Northern District of California	6/24/82
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit	6/4/84
United States Supreme Court	3/25/85
United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit	11/20/85
United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit	6/19/97
United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit	12/5/00
United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit	4/25/01

I also was admitted to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona on February 5, 1990. In September 1999, the United States District Court for the District of Arizona amended its Local Rule 1.5 to state: "Admission to and continuing membership in the bar of this Court is limited to attorneys who are active members in good standing of the State Bar of Arizona." Accordingly, as I am not a member of the State Bar of Arizona, I am no longer admitted to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.